ADAM WANG (STATE BAR NUMBER 201233) 1 DAL BON & WANG 12 South First Street, Suite 613 San Jose, CA 95113 Tel: (408) 292-1040 3 Fax: (408) 292-1045 4 Attorney for Plaintiff Feng Chen 5 Jeffrey Chen (CSB#189514) 6 Law Offices of Jeffrey Chen 275 Fifth Street, 4th Floor 7 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 348-6269 8 Facsimile: (415) 358-8046 9 Attorneys for Defendants 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 FOR DISTRICT OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 12 Case No.: C07-04433 CRB FENG CHEN, 13 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15 GOLDEN EAGLE GROUP, INC. DBA 16 PEKING PALACE, WENYING L LO, AND DOES 1-10 17 Defendants 18 In accordance with the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of 19 20

California, the parties in this matter hereby submit this Joint Case Management Conference Statement.

#### 1. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE

The basis for the Court's subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims is the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., as alleged in the Complaint. There are no existing issues regarding personal jurisdiction or venue. There are no parties that remain to be served.

C07-04433 CRB

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiff Feng Chen was employed as a fry cook at Peking Palace restaurant during the four year period prior to the filing of his Complaint. In this action, Plaintiff seeks overtime and other wage and hour claims against Defendants under the authority of both federal and California laws.

The principal factual issues in dispute are:

1. Whether and to what extent Plaintiff is owed overtime wage.

### 3. <u>LEGAL ISSUES</u>

Plaintiff asserts that Defendants failed to pay him overtime pay in violation of California Labor Code §§ 501 and 1194 as well as in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* Plaintiff further alleges that Defendants violated California Labor Code § 226.7 by failing to provide meal and rest periods to Plaintiff. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendants failed to pay his wages upon termination in violation of California Labor Code § 201. Finally, Plaintiff seeks restitution of overtime wages in accordance with California Business & Professions Code § 17200.

#### 4. MOTIONS

The parties anticipate the filing of the following motions:

- (a) Plaintiff's Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and/or Summary Judgment to dismiss claims against individual defendants;
  - (b) Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees.

# 5. <u>AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS</u>

After the identities of all owners of the restaurant have been ascertained through discovery, Plaintiff will amend the complaint to include the owners of the restaurant as employers of Plaintiffs under FLSA and under the theory of piercing corporate veil.

2

C07-04433 CRB

3

9

11

12 13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

#### 6. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION

Defendants have been notified by their counsel of the obligation to preserve all electronically stored or other evidence.

### 7. <u>DISCLOSURES</u>

Plaintiff has provided his initial disclosures.

### 8. <u>DISCOVERY</u>

Parties agree to adhere to the limitations set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

### 9. <u>CLASS ACTIONS</u>

N/A

#### 10. RELATED CASES

There are no related cases or proceedings pending before another Judge of this Court or before another Court or administrative body.

### 11. RELIEF

Plaintiff seeks unpaid overtime and unpaid minimum wage over a four year period. The damages are to be calculated based on the overtime hours worked and wages paid Plaintiff.

Plaintiff does not have sufficient record and information on the various wages paid him over entire period of his employment to calculate his damages. Such information would be ascertained through discovery.

## 12. SETTLEMENT AND ADR

The parties agree to participate in the court-sponsored mediation.

## 13. CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES

Defendants decline to proceed before a magistrate judge.

## 14. <u>OTHER REFERENCES</u>

The parties agree that that this case is not suitable for a binding arbitration and do not agree on any other reference.

3

C07-04433 CRB

1	15.	15. <u>NARROWING OF ISSUES</u>		
2		Partie	es do not anticipate an agreement to narrow issues	
3	16.	16. <u>EXPEDITED SCHEDULE</u>		
4		The p	parties do not believe that this case can be put on an expedited schedule.	
5	17.	SCHEDULING		
6		The parties submit the following schedule to this Court in relation to discovery, motions,		
7	design	esignation of experts, and pretrial conference and trial:		
8		(a)	Fact discovery cutoff on September 30, 2008;	
9		(b)	Expert reports October 31, 2008;	
10		(c)	Expert rebuttal reports November 30, 2008;	
11		(d)	Expert Discovery Cutoff December 31, 2008;	
12		(e)	Last day of hearing on dispositive motion on January 11, 2008;	
13		(f)	Trial: March, 2009	
14		(f)	The parties agree to meet and confer concerning any modifications to this plan.	
15	18.	. TRIAL		
16		Plaint	tiff has requested a jury trial. Plaintiff's estimate length of trial is 7 court days.	
17	19. <u>DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS</u>			
18		Parties have no one to report other than parties themselves.		
19	20.	<u>OTH</u>	ER MATTERS	
20		None		
21	DATE	D: No	ovember 24, 2007 DAL BON & WANG	
22			By: /s/ Adam Wang	
23			ADAM WANG Attorneys for Plaintiff	
24				
25				

####